



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 20.10.2021

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

CrI.O.P. (MD) Nos.10769 & 12433 of 2021

and CrI.M.P. (MD) .No.6370 of 2021

WEB COPY

CrI.O.P. (MD) .No.10769 of 2021

S.Shabeena

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Cantonment, Trichy.

2.Mr.Kuty @ Karimkutty.

...Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to direct the 1st respondent police not to harass the petitioner.

For Petitioner
For Respondents

: Mr.M.Dinesh Hari Sudarsan
: Mr.R.M.Anbunithi for R1
Additional Public Prosecutor
Mr.R.Sundar for R2

CrI.O.P. (MD) No.12433 of 2021

S.Shabeena

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City,
Subramaniyapuram, Trichy.

2.The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Cantonment Range (L & O)
Cantonment, Trichy.

3.Kutty @ Karimkutty

...Respondents

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to direct the 2nd respondent police to not to harass the petitioner.

For Petitioner
For Respondents

: Mr.M.Dinesh Hari Sudarsan
: Mr.R.M.Anbunithi for R1 & R2
Additional Public Prosecutor
Mr.R.Sundar for R3

COMMON ORDER

These petitions have been filed seeking a direction to the respondent police not to harass the petitioner.

2.When the petitions are taken up for hearing, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that based upon the complaint



given by the third respondent enquiry was undertaken in C.Nos.767 of 2021, 263 of 2021, 15722 of 2021 and 337 of 2021 and during the course of enquiry both parties have appeared and on behalf of the petitioner her father has appeared and it was found that it is a family dispute between the parties. Subsequently, the petitioner also attended. But however, the third respondent did not appear. Because of the non co-operation on the part of the third respondent herein, it was closed on 31.08.2021. Since it is a family dispute, it has been rightly closed by the second respondent. Since the enquiry has been closed, the harassment may not exist and the prayer sought for in this petition has become infructuous.

3. In view of the statement of the parties that has been given before the Enquiry Officer and recording the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, these two petitions are disposed of as infructuous. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Trichy City,
Subramaniyapuram, Trichy.
2. The Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Cantonment Range (L & O)
Cantonment, Trichy.
3. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Cantonment, Trichy.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

CrI.O.P. (MD) No.10769 of 2021 and 12433 of 2021

20.10.2021

NSN (CO)

GC/JC(02.11.2021) 2P 5C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>