



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.02.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P (MD)No.13344 of 2020

and

W.M.P (MD)No.11135 of 2020

WEB COPY

G.Vishnu

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Chennai -34.

2.The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
Tirunelveli, Tirunelveli District.

3.The Assistant Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District.

4.The Inspector,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
Nagercoil West,
Kanyakumari District.

5.S.Murugesu Pillai,

6.Ayyappan

.... Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent No.2 herein to appoint a board of Trustees including fit person under Sec 49(1) of the Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1959 for the management of the Arulmigu Manchadhamman Temple Trust, Soorapallam, Kanyakumari District within the time period stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy
For Respondent	:	Mr.K.P.Narayanakumar
Nos.1 to 4		Special Government Pleader
For Respondent	:	Mr.Robert Bruce,
No.5		
For Respondent	:	Mr.F.Deepak
No.6		



O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel on either side. The subject matter of the writ petition pertains to the affairs of the petition mentioned religious institution. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner even at the outset stated that even though he had asked for a larger prayer, he would confine the same to seeking disposal of the enquiry pending before the 3rd respondent.

2.The learned Counsel appearing for the private respondent would state that the petition mentioned temple does not come under the purview of the Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department.

3.I am of the view that the it is not necessary to go into the rival contentions at this point of time. The fact remains that based on the petitioner's complaint, dated 29.08.2019, the enquiry has been initiated and the 3rd respondent is now seized of the matter. Therefore, it is entirely for the 3rd respondent to give disposal to the complaint given by the petitioner herein.

4.The learned Special Government Pleader appearing for respondents 1 to 4 would state that the enquiry will be completed and orders will be passed within a period of four months.

5.I make it clear that I have not gone into the merits of the matter. All the contentions of the private respondents are left fully open.

6.The Writ Petition is disposed of on the above terms. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Ds

To:-

1..The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Chennai -34.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

