



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 04.08.2021

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

W.P. (MD) No.13413 of 2021

M.Ramalingam

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
District Collector Office,
Dindigul District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Revenue Divisional Office,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.
3. The Tahsildar
Tahsildar Office,
Kodaikkanal,
Dindigul District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents No: 1 to 3 to issue a fresh Patta by mentioning the total agricultural land with an extent of 67 Ares as per the assignment order given in favour of the Petitioner vide proceedings in Na.Ka.No.4408/02/A1, D.K.D.No.7/1419 dated 01.06.2009 by considering the Petitioner's representation dated 06.02.2021 within a stipulated time fixed by this Hon'ble Court based on the petitioner's representation dated 05.02.2021.

For Petitioner	: M/s.Henri Tiphagne
For Respondents	: Mr.P.Subbaraj
	Counsel for State

O R D E R

The petitioner seeks a rectified patta showing the full extent of land allotted to the petitioner.

2.The petitioner states that he was rescued from the bonded labour system by the District Revenue Administration and as part of the rehabilitation measures, he was provided free agricultural land admeasuring 67 Ares. The said land was in three different survey numbers at Kookal Village, Kodaikkanal Taluk. Upon applying for a patta, he was shocked to receive a patta showing an extent of 30 Ares in Survey No.1246/2C.

3.Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the said patta does not indicate lands owned by the petitioner in Survey No.1246/1B and 1246/3C and that, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to a rectified patta indicating the full extent of land allotted to him.

4.Mr.P.Subbaraj, learned counsel for the State, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents and, without prejudice to the merits of the



petitioner's claim, states that the Thasildar may be directed to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation.

5. Accordingly, without going into the merits of the matter, the third respondent herein is directed to consider the petitioner's representation dated 06.02.2021 and dispose of the same by a reasoned order after providing a reasonable opportunity of hearing both to the petitioner and to any others who would be affected by such orders. Such reasoned order shall be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5.W.P.(MD).No.13413 of 2020 is disposed of on these terms without any order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS I)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To

1. The District Collector
District Collector Office,
Dindigul District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Revenue Divisional Office,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.
3. The Tahsildar
Tahsildar Office,
Kodaikkanal,
Dindigul District.

+1CC to The Special Government Pleader (SR25357)

W.P. (MD) .No.13413 of 2021

04.08.2021

PS

RS (11.08.2021) 2P-5C