



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 28.09.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.ILANGOVAN

Crl.O.P. (MD) .No.10492 of 2021

WEB COPY

S.Prakash

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruchirappalli,
Tiruchirappalli District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thottiyam Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli District.

3.Selvam

4.Sureshwaran

5.Namasivayam

6.Geethanjali

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, directing the first and second respondents to provide adequate Police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and the Advaita Vedhanthikalin Elakku Mudhal Vadha Manithaneya Sinthanaikkoodam situated at 9/19, Thanneer Durai Theru, Balasamuthiram, Thottiyam Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Sudhagar Nagaraj

For 1st and 2nd Respondents : Mr.R.Meenakshi Sundaram
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(This writ petition is heard through video conference)

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the first and second respondents to provide adequate Police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and the Advaita Vedhanthikalin Elakku Mudhal Vadha Manithaneya Sinthanaikkoodam situated at 9/19, Thanneer Durai Theru, Balasamuthiram, Thottiyam Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District.

2.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that based
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



upon the representation made by the petitioner, enquiry is undertaken and during the course of enquiry, it was found that dispute arose between Trustees regarding the administration of the Trust. Finding the above fact both parties were advised to workout their remedy through proper proceedings.

3.Today, the closure report has been circulated to this Court. Perusal of the closure report shows that the petitioner himself has given an undertaking to the effect that he will resolve the dispute through civil proceedings. Since, the petitioner himself has given such undertaking, the question of granting police protection on the basis of the representation given by him does not arise. Hence, the prayer sought for in this petition has become infructuous.

4.Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed as infructuous.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (P&A)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruchirappalli,
Tiruchirappalli District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thottiyam Police Station,
Tiruchirappalli District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

Crl.O.P. (MD) .No.10492 of 2021
28.09.2021

RD(12.10.2021) 2P 4C