



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 15/07/2021

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.CHANDRASEKHARAN

CRL OP(MD)No.9374 of 2021

Subash @ Subhash

... Petitioner/Accused No.7

Vs

The State Rep. by,
Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.889/2021).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Balasubramanian,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.889/2021 on the file of the Respondent Police to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused No.7 on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.889 of 2021 pending investigation on the file of the Respondent Police and thus

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 506(i) IPC in Crime No.889 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there is previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the accused. On 03.07.2021, at about 07.30 pm, when the defacto complainant was sitting in the Anjaneyar Temple, the accused had come in three 2 wheelers and started scolding the defacto complainant and others in filthy language. When the defacto complainant and others asked the accused



why they are scolding, the accused started attacking the defacto complainant and his friends. Therefore, the defacto complainant suffered injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that this Court granted anticipatory bail to the co-accused in CrI.O.P.(MD)No.9271 of 2021, on 14.07.2021. Therefore, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate(CrI.side) appearing for the respondent police opposed this petition on the ground that investigation is pending. However, he conceded that the injured person had been discharged from the hospital.

5. Taking note of the fact that the co-accused were granted anticipatory bail by this Court and also the fact that the petitioner is only 19 years, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakkottai, Dindigul District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before respondent police daily at 10.30 am., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.



[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

sd/-
15/07/2021

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NILAKKOTTAI, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
3. INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BATLAGUNDU POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9374 of 2021
Date :15/07/2021

GNS
USK/VR/SAR-I : 20/07/2021 : 3P/5C