



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 08/07/2021

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.CHANDRASEKHARAN

CRL OP(MD)No.9002 of 2021

Sunil @ Selvasunil

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kotticode Police Station,
Kanniyakumari District.
Crime No.114 of 2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.P.Narayanakumar, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.114 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324, 341, 379 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.114 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 19.06.2021 the defacto complainant took his wife and children to his mother-in-law house and left from there on 20.06.2021. When he returned with his family, at about 08.30 pm., the accused, who were hiding near Kotrikodukulam, waylaid them. A1 scolded him in filthy language. A3 pushed his two wheeler, as a result, all the three fell down. A2 hit him with iron-rod and other accused joined with A2 and started attacking the defacto complainant and his wife. On hearing the noise, his mother-in-law intervened and she was also attacked by A1. A1 snatched the gold chain from his wife's neck and all the accused criminally intimidated them and thereafter, left the place. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is his further submission that there is two days delay in processing the complaint.



4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police opposed this petition on the ground that investigation is pending. However, he submitted that injured person had already been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the nature of the incident and the fact that the injured person had already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Padmanabhapuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioner shall report before respondent police daily at 10.30 am., until further orders.

[c]the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f]If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
08/07/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
PADMANABHAPURAM.
- 2 DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.
- 3 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KOTTICODE POLICE STATION,
KANNYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9002 of 2021
Date :08/07/2021.

GNS
MS-MK/AKM/SAR I/13.07.2021/3P/5C