



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05.07.2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.CHANDRASEKHARAN

CRL OP(MD)No.8613 of 2021

1.Santhiya
2.Revinsdan
3.Jacksan
4.Parthipan
5.Packiyanathan
6.Berasidan
7.Paviyan
8.Samiman @ Arockiya Saiman
9.Punithan
10.Praveen @ Pevinraj ... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 5,9,
10,11,13 and 17

Vs

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thangachimadam Police Station,
Thangachimadam, Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No.127 of 2021 ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Gokul

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.127 of 2021 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 352, 324, 427 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.127 of 2021, seek anticipatory bail.



2.The case of the prosecution is that on 23.06.2021, at about 07.30 pm, the accused had illegally trespassed into the defacto complainant's house and damaged the articles and attacked the defacto complainant and others. Therefore, they suffered injuries. It is further alleged that the one Femina has suffered abortion. The value of the damaged articles is Rs.50,000/-. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. It is his further submission that the allegation that the one Femina suffered abortion is not true. Therefore, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) opposed this petition on the ground that investigation is still pending. However, he submitted that the said Femina has not suffered abortion. He also submitted that the injured has been discharged from the Hospital.

5.Considering submission of the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) that the said Femina has not suffered abortion and the fact that the injured had been discharged from the Hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.


6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a]the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioners shall report before respondent police daily at 10.30 am., until further orders.

[c]the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
05/07/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RAMESHWARAN.
- 2.-DO-THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THANGACHIMADAM POLICE STATION,
THANGACHIMADAM, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8613 of 2021
Date :05/07/2021

mbi
AE/RSK/SAR-II/07.07.2021/3P/5C