



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED :16.07.2021

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM
AND
THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.ANANTHI**

CONT.P[MD]No.813 of 2021

in

W.P.[MD]No.2507 of 2021

Sakthi Mohan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. Uma Shankar,
Branch Manager, The Indian Bank,
Asset Recovery Management Branch (ARMB),
No.55, Ethiraj Salai, Zonal Office Building,
Wellington Estate,
Egmore, Chennai.

2. K.Manjula,
Authorised Signatory / Chief Manager,
Asset Reconstruction Company of India (ARCL),
1G, 1st Floor Century Plaza,
Teynampet,
Annasalai, Chennai - 600 018.

... Contemnors

PRAYER: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, praying to take contempt proceedings against the respondents / contemnors for wilful disobedience of the order passed by this Court in W.P[MD].No.2507 of 2021 dated 12.03.2021.

Prayer in WP(MD). 2507 of 2021 :

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents to return the original title deeds of the property comprised in S.No. 344/1, situated at Enapuram Ulkadai, Vallani village, Paiyur Pillaivayal, Vaniyangudi Panchayath, Sivagangai Taluk, Sivagangai Dist to the petitioner within a time frame stipulated by this Honble Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Sivasubramanian



O R D E R

(Order of the Court was made by **T.S.SIVAGNANAM, J.**)

WEB COPY This Contempt Petition has been filed alleging willful disobedience of the order and direction issued in W.P.[MD]No.2507 of 2021 dated 12.03.2021.

2.Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondent Bank has now returned the original title deeds to the petitioner.

3.In the light of the said submission, no further orders are required in the Contempt Petition and the Contempt Petition is closed as the order and direction in the writ petition has been complied with.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AD II)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

MR

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

CONT.P[MD]No.813 of 2021
16.07.2021

RC (30.07.2021) 2P-1C