



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.01.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

Cont P.(MD).No.638 of 2020

Sathishkannan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.Mr.Pradeep Yadav, I.A.S.,
Chairman cum Managing Director,
The Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Ltd.,
No.144, Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.

2.Mrs.J.Kalaiselvi, B.E., M.B.A.,
The Chief Engineer (Personnel)
TANGEDCO,
NPKRR Maligai, No.144, Anna Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.

3.Mr.M.Sivakumar,
The Senior Personnel Officer/Recruitment,
TNEB Building,
8th Floor, NPKRR Maligai, No.144, Anna Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Contempt petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Court Act 1971, to punish the Contemnors / respondents for willful disobedience of the order dated 28.02.2020 passed in W.P.(MD). No.5739 of 2017.

Prayer in WP(MD). 5739/ 2017 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records pertaining to the selection of Kausalya Devi P. in serial number 18 of published selection list of 21 Assistant Engineer/ Electrical (ECE/EIE) by direct recruitment 2015-2017 and quash the selection of Kausalya Devi P. and consequently direct the respondents to consider petitioners candidature for the post of Assistant Engineer(ECE/EIE) in Scheduled Tribe category

For Petitioner	:	Mr.Rajkumar for Mr.Prabhu Rajadurai
For Respondent	:	Mr.T.Sakthi Kannan, Standing Counsel

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as against the order dated 28.02.2020 passed in W.P.(MD).No.5739 of 2017, the

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



respondents have filed a Writ Appeal in W.A.(MD).No.886 of 2020 before the Honourable Division Bench of this Court and the Honourable Division Bench has also stayed the said order.

2.In view of the same, it would not be appropriate to keep the contempt petition pending. Nevertheless if the petitioner is granted liberty to workout his remedy depending on the outcome of the decision to be taken in the Writ Appeal, the ends of the justice would be secured.

3.Accordingly, this contempt petition is closed with liberty to the petitioner to work out his remedy based on the outcome of the Writ Appeal.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the Advocate/litigant concerned.

+1 CC to M/s.T.SAKTHI KUMARAN,Advocate (SR-546[F] dated 07/01/2021)

+1 CC to M/s.G.PRABHU RAJADURAI,Advocate(SR-590[F] dated 08/01/2021)

Cont P.(MD).No.638 of 2020
07.01.2021

(KUN) CO
KB(25/01/2021) 2P 3C