



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26/05/2021

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice K.MURALI SHANKAR

CRL OP (MD) . No.7038 of 2021

1. Ragu @ Ragunathan,

2. Sathishkumar,

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 &2

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Kollidam Police Station,
Trichy District
Cr No. 122/2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.Jegadeesh Pandian.M.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.S.Ravi,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.122 Of 2021 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.122 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.Heard both sides.

3.The case of the prosecution is that since the defacto complainant delivered the food from Hotel Ambal with delay, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, due to which, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant with wooden log. Hence, the complaint.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor (criminal side), on instructions, submitted that the injury was a minor injury and he had already taken out patient treatment.

6. It is seen that all other offences except 506(ii) of IPC are bailable offences and that the injured has been treated as out patient. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the impact of covid-19 pandemic situation and the consequent efforts not to congest the prisons, this Court is of the view that the arrest of the petitioner at this point of time is not necessary and unwarranted in the interest of public at large. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

7. The petitioners shall in the event of arrest by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate within a period of one month from the date of resumption of regular work in the Court below, be released on bail on them executing a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the concerned Judicial Magistrate and on further conditions that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police, as and when required;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;



WEB COPY

(f)if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
26/05/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

MBI
TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SRIRANGAM,
TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 2 DO-THROUGH
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KOLLIDAM POLICE STATION,
TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7038 of 2021
Date :26/05/2021

CN:SKN:SAR4(01.06.2021)3P C5