



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/05/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.ILANGO VAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.6876 of 2021

1. Dhusanthan @ Thushanthan
2. Ajanthan
3. Thanraj @ Dhanraj ... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Mandapam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.100 of 2021). ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Veerakumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthu Manickam
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.100 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 270, 294(b), 323, 332 and 336 of IPC in Crime No.100 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The defacto complainant is the Health Inspector. On 01.05.2021, when the defacto complainant took a covid - 19 test to the petitioners' mother, the test result came as 'positive' and he informed her to be isolated herself. Thereafter, the defacto complainant went to her home for inspection on 03.05.2021 and saw her living with the petitioners together and when the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the petitioners entered into an altercation. Hence, this case came to be registered.



4.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case and hence, they seek anticipatory bail.

5.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side), on instructions, submitted that the petitioners have no bad antecedents.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioners have no bad antecedents, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners on certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police on executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- [Rupees Ten Thousand only] each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram, Ramanathapuram District, and execute a fresh personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- [Rupees Ten Thousand only] each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned Judicial Magistrate, within a period of one month, from the date of resumption of regular work in subordinate Courts in view of the present pandemic situation and on further conditions that:

(a)the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police, once in two weeks i.e., on Monday, at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;



(f)if the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
19/05/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMESWARAM, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 2.-DO-THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANDAPAM POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6876 of 2021
Date :19/05/2021

SM
AE/JC/SAR-III/25.05.2021/3P/5C