



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 07/06/2021

PRESENT

WEB COPY

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.A.NAKKIRAN**

**CRL OP(MD)No.6466 of 2021**

1. C.Neeladasan @ Sathan  
2. D.Vishnu

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.4 & 5

Vs

The State rep.by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Kottar Police Station,  
Kanyakumari District.  
(Crime No. 223/2021).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.C.Susikumar,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)


PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :- For Bail in Crime No.223 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.04.2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 364A, 506(ii) IPC in crime No.223 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 23.04.2021, there was compromise talk between on Sethu Parvathi Bai and the defacto complainant's brother-in-law, pertaining to vacate the shops, wherein the defacto complainant's brother-in-law was doing business. As per the compromise, the said Sethu Parvathi Bai, paid Rs.13,50,000/- to the brother-in-law of the defacto complainant and one Dharbar Ravi also participated in the compromise and the accused persons also present. In order to compromise the dispute, the brother-in-law of the defacto complainant agreed to pay Rs.2 Lakhs to the A1 in this case. After paying the said amount, the A1 demanded additional sum of Rs.50,000/- and when the defacto complainant's brother-in-law refused the same, the accused taken away the him in a car and demanded Rs.1 Lakh and threatened them. hence, the complaint.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioners are in judicial custody from 24.04.2021 and hence, they may be granted bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioners are having several cases in various Districts i.e., Tirunelveli, Thenkasi, Erode, Thoothukudi and it is a sensational case in Nagercoil City. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also the considering the bad antecedents of the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

sd/-

07/06/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE OFFICER INCHARGE,  
DISTRICT JAIL, KANNIYAKUMARI AT NAGERCOIL.
- 2.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
KOTTAR POLICE STATION,  
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
3. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.6466 of 2021

Date :07/06/2021 (2/3)

GNS

AE/PN/SAR-III/10.06.2021/2P/4C