



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.07.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE V.M.VELUMANI

Cont.P.(MD)No.576 of 2020

M.Sivakumar

... Petitioner/Petitioner

vs.

1.Mr.Murugesan,
Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Madurai Division,
Bye Pass Road, Madurai - 625 016.

2.Mr.Rajeswaran,
General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Madurai Region,
Bye Pass Road, Madurai - 625 016.

3.Mrs.Bhama,
Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai - 600 002.

... Contemnors/Respondents

PRAYER: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the contemnors/respondents for their willful disobedience of the order passed by this Court dated 05.12.2019 in W.P.(MD)No.25940 of 2019.

Prayer in WP(MD). 25940/ 2019 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to pay 18% interest for the belated payment of terminal benefits of P.F., Gratuity, Commutation and Unavailed Leave Salary, payable to the petitioner from the date of his retirement ie., 30.6.2018 to till the date of actual payment made to him and all other attendant benefits within the stipulated period.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Rahul

For Respondents : Mr.J.Senthilkumaraiah,
Standing Counsel for R1 & R2

ORDER

The present Contempt Petition has been filed alleging non compliance of the order dated 05.12.2019, made in W.P.(MD)No.25940 of 2019.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and respondents submitted that the order of this Court dated 05.12.2019, made in W.P.(MD)No.25940 of 2019, has been complied with.

3. Recording the same, this Contempt Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsm

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

Cont.P. (MD)No.576 of 2020

12.07.2021

RD(22.07.2021) 2P 1C