



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/04/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble **Mr. Justice G.CHANDRASEKHARAN**

CRL OP(MD).No.6272 of 2021

WEB COPY

1. Anthony Siluvai,
2. Jesu Antony,
3. Rathinam,
4. Reknes,
5. Keni,
6. Gowtham,
7. Ajai,
8. Shajan,
9. Inipant,
10. George,
11. Marshall,
12. Dony,
13. Rewarld,
14. Thomse,
15. Mathiyagan,
16. Mikale,
17. Vino,
18. Sancharge,
19. Rex,
20. Vinoth,
21. Alex Kojin

... Petitioners/Accused No. 16 to 21
& 23 to 37

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Kanyakumari Police Station,
Kanyakumari,
Kanyakumari District.
Cr No. 134/2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.Palani Velayutham.S.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.Kr.Bharathi Kannan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)


PETITION FOR **ANTICIPATORY BAIL** Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory bail in Crime No. 134/2021 on the file of the
respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for



the alleged offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294 (b), 323, 324 and 506 (ii) of IPC @ 147, 148, 294 (b), 323, 324, 506 (ii) and 307 of IPC in Crime No.134 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, the petitioners have come forward with this petition seeking anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent police.

3. It is seen from the submissions made that the defacto complainant is the President of Motorized Boat Association. There is previous enmity between the defacto complainant & his associates and one Soundarrajan. On 30.03.2021, at about 11.45 a.m., when the defacto complainant was standing in front of his office, the accused unlawfully assembled there, started attacking and criminally intimidated them. Hence, the complaint.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. He would further submit that the defacto complainant is exaggerating the events with false details and that the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail and hence, they seek anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (criminal side), on instructions, concedes that the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail and submits that injured has been discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the fact that the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District, and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar cards or Bank pass Books to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, daily, at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

30.04.2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SM

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
NAGERCOIL, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
2. DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KANYAKUMARI POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.PALANIVELAYUTHAM, Advocate (SR-3624[I] dated 04/05/2021)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.6272 of 2021