



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 27.04.2021

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE G.ILANGOVAN

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.5959 of 2021

P.Chandrasekaran

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Represented by its

1.The Inspector of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Keeranoor Police Station,
Keeranoor, Pudukkottai District.

3.Balamurugan

... Respondents


Prayer : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to direct the respondents 1 and 2 herein to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner and his property in survey Nos.585/22 and 585/38, situated at Keeranoor Village, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukkottai District on the basis of his representation, dated 18.10.2019 given by the petitioner.

For Petitioner	: Mr.B.Jameel Arasu
For R1 and R2	: Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The present petition is filed by the petitioner seeking a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 herein to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner and his property in survey Nos.585/22 and 585/38, situated at Keeranoor Village, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukkottai District by considering his representation, dated 18.10.2019.

2.The case of the petitioner is that he is the owner of the property mentioned above in respect of which, he has filed a civil suit in O.S.No.79 of 2016 before the District Munsif, Keeranoor, Pudukkottai District. Pending the said suit, the third respondent herein filed a petition to implead himself as a party, but he has no



right. After the elaborate trial, the suit was decreed in favour of the petitioner. Apprehending threat, the petitioner gave a representation on 18.10.2019. During the course of enquiry, the third respondent made an undertaking that he will not disturb the possession of the petitioner, but inspite of that he is making trouble and life threat.

3.Heard the learned counsel on either side.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 would submit that the representation is of the year 2019 and that was closed and therefore, there is no question of considering the same once again.

5.Considering this fact, the petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation to the second respondent within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if the trouble still exists. On such representation being made, the second respondent is directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, by considering the urgency and summoning the private respondents.

6.With the above direction, the Criminal Original Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AE)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Pudukkottai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Keeranoor Police Station,
Keeranoor, Pudukkottai District.



3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

CrI.O.P. (MD)No.5959 of 2021
27.04.2021

AS (08.06.2021) 3P 4C