



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/04/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble **Mr.Justice G.CHANDRASEKHARAN**

CRL OP(MD).No.5215 of 2021

Savaraiar

... Petitioner/A 1

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Kenikarai Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.164 of 2021)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Senthil Ayyanar.M.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : M.Ganesan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR **ANTICIPATORY BAIL** Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.164 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under sections 294 (b), 323, 506 (ii) and 195 (A) of IPC and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.164 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, the petitioner has come forward with this petition seeking anticipatory bail.

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent police.

3.It is seen from the submissions made that the petitioner is the husband of the defacto complainant and there is a family dispute. There is also maintenance case filed by the defacto complainant against the petitioner and it is pending. On 09.03.2021, when the petitioner and the defacto complainant appeared before the family Court, the petitioner is said to have beaten the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.



4.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side), on instructions, submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the fact that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are husband and wife, the fact that this incident had happened with regard to the pending maintenance case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram, and on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that,

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar cards or Bank pass Books to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
09.04.2021

/ TRUE COPY /



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
RAMANATHAPURAM.
2. DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KENIKARAI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5215 of 2021
Date: 09/04/2021

VB/PN/SAR-IV (20/04/2021) 3P / 5c