



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.03.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. S. RAMESH

W.P.(MD) No.7002 of 2021

M.S.Sugumari

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corpn. (KMB) Ltd.,
New Railway Station Road,
Kumbakonam - 612 001.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corpn. (KMB) Ltd.,
Karaikudi Region,
Managiri Post,
Maruthapathi,
Karaikudi,
Sivagangai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to settle the petitioner surrender leave salary for 121 days in respect of the years 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 together with interest at the rate of 18% per annum payable from the date of retirement to till the date of actual payment.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Gokul

For Respondents : Mr.D.Sivaraman

Standing Counsel.

O R D E R

This writ petition has been filed for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to settle the petitioner's surrender leave salary for 121 days in respect of the years 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018, together with interest at the rate of 18% per annum payable from the date of retirement to till the date of actual payment.

2.Heard Mr.K.Gokul, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.D.Sivaraman, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

3.By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

4.The grievance of the petitioner is that after his retirement, the respondents have not settled the surrender leave salary and




therefore, he claims the disbursement of the same together with interest.

5.The learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents, submitted that the issue involved in the present writ petition is covered by various orders of this Court, including the order, dated 13.12.2019, passed in the case of **S.Alagesan Vs. The Managing Director, Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Kumbakonam) Ltd., and another** in **W.P. (MD).No.26487 of 2019**. The relevant portion of the order reads as follows:

"4. An identical issue came up for consideration before the Division Bench of this Court in W.A (MD) No.210 of 2019 and the Hon'ble Division Bench by judgment dated 04.09.2019 had upheld the view of the learned Single Judge against which the writ petition came to be filed and also rejected the Corporation plea that the employee had not claimed the encashment of the surrender leave within the stipulated time. The relevant portion of the said order reads as follows:-

'2. This appeal is filed by the Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation, Kumbakonam Division. The respondent filed W.P(MD).No.2449 of 2018 praying for a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to quash the order dated 21.12.2017 and direct the appellants to settle the petitioner's surrender leave salary. The appellant Corporation resisted the claim by contending that even though as per the settlement entered into under Section 12(3) of the Industrial Dispute Act, the employee is entitled for surrendering and encashing 15 days in one year or 30 days in two years, the same has not been done by the writ petitioner during his service during 2011-2014 and after superannuation only in the year 2016, he has made a claim of surrender of earn leave, based on the circular issued by the appellant Corporation, dated 09.01.2017. Further, it is submitted that the circular is not meant for enabling the retired employees to renew their claim of surrendering their earned leave of 15 days in a year during the service i.e., between 2011-2014 and it is applicable only for existing employees. Therefore, it is submitted that the respondent/writ petitioner cannot lay his claim based upon the circular, dated 09.01.2017.

3.The learned counsel



Court in many cases have ordered for payment of earned leave salary, but have not granted interest and therefore, he submitted that the interest need not be paid for non surrender of earned leave.

8. In all the decisions cited by the learned Standing Counsel, both the Division Bench, as well as the learned Single Judges, there is no discussion with regard to the non entitlement of the interest. Since there is no dictum laid down in any of the decisions to the effect that the employees will not be entitled for interest on belated payment of earned leave, I am unable to accept these submissions made by the learned Standing Counsel.

9. Accordingly, there shall be a direction to the respondents herein to settle the surrender leave salary for 121 days, in respect of the years 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018, together with interest at the rate of 6% per annum from the date of retirement till the date of actual disbursement. The respondents shall be entitled to disburse the surrender leave salary in six Equal Monthly Installments and the 1st Installment shall commence from 1st of April 2021.

10. This writ petition stands allowed accordingly. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl Side)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)


TM

NOTE:

In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corpn. (KMB) Ltd.,
New Railway Station Road,
Kumbakonam - 612 001.



2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corpn. (KMB) Ltd.,
Karaikudi Region,
Managiri Post,
Maruthapathi,
Karaikudi,
Sivagangai District.

+1 CC to M/s.K.GOKUL, Advocate (SR-14144[F] dated 29/03/2021)

+1 CC to M/s.D.SIVARAMAN, Advocate (SR-14408[F] dated 30/03/2021)

W.P.(MD) No.7002 of 2021

29.03.2021

KK(01.04.2021) 5P 5C