



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.03.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P. (MD) No.6972 of 2021

Jeyarani Mallaian

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of School Education,
DPI Complex,
College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.

3.The Chief Educational Officer,
School Education Department,
Madurai.

4.The District Educational Officer,
Usilampatti,
Madurai District.

5.The Block Educational Officer,
T.Kallupatti,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent i.e., the Principal Secretary to Government, School Education Department, Chennai to take immediate action for sanction of incentive increment to the petitioner for acquisition of M.A., (Sociology) even in the year 2014-16, within a specified time frame that may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Visvalingam

For Respondents : Mr.N.Shanmuga Selvam
Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

The petitioner herein, has possessed higher education during his service and she aspired to avail incentive increment for his higher education, in terms of the Regulations governing them. When the petitioner had sought for the approval for registration of the petitioner's higher qualification for the purpose of granting



incentive increment, the same came to be rejected by the fifth respondent herein, stating that, the petitioner had not obtained prior permission of the Authorities, for undergoing higher education.

2. The petitioner herein intends to avail incentive increment for having possessed higher educational qualification and the purpose for which the ratification of his higher degree was sought for was to make the petitioner eligible for availing the said increment.

3. This Court, in various writ petitions have held that claims for conferment of incentive increment for acquiring higher educational qualifications cannot be denied on the ground that such higher qualifications were acquired without prior permission. One such order of this Court passed in the case of **J.Tamilrajan vs. Department of School Education and others** passed in W.P.(MD) No.4019 of 2018, reads thus:-

"3.In W.P(MD).No.1049 of 2012, a learned single Judge of this Court has considered the similar question and has rejected the claim of the department that if the higher education is pursued without consent of the permission of the department, the teacher was not entitled to the incentive increments for obtaining such higher qualification. Following the said Judgment, another learned single Judge of this Court in W.P.No.18086 of 2017 has held that want of permission cannot stand in the way of the petitioner being favoured with the incentive increments for having obtained higher qualifications. While doing so, paragraph 7 of the order, this Court has observed as follows:

" 7.This Court in the unreported decision made in W.P(MD).No. 21895 of 2015 dated 08.12.2015, while considering the claims for conferment of incentive increment for acquiring qualification and the contention that they were acquired without prior permission, held that conferment of incentive increment cannot be denied on that score. Acquiring higher education by the teacher is only for the benefit of students. In that view of the matter, the first ground of opposition stands rejected"

4. In view of the above, the sole ground on which the authorities rejected the claim of the petitioner towards incentive increments fails and the writ petition is liable to succeed. The impugned order of rejection is therefore, quashed. The respondents are directed to sanction the incentive increments to the petitioner for



obtaining the higher qualifications namely M.A.History and B.Ed Degree from the date of acquisition of the respective qualifications. The said exercise shall be completed within 8 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order."

WEB COPY

4. By applying the ratio held in the aforesaid decision as well as various other decisions, on the same line, it can be said that the petitioner herein would be entitled for incentive increment for having possessed higher educational qualification, even though he had not obtained prior permission of the Authorities for having undergone the course for such higher education.

5. In the light of the above observations, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a fresh representation to the fifth respondent herein. On receipt of such representation, the fifth respondent herein, shall positively consider the same, if not otherwise disqualify, in the line of the observations made by this Court and extend the benefit of incentive increment, atleast within a period of twelve (12) weeks from the date of receipt of the proposal.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands allowed. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

NOTE: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 600 009.



2.The Commissioner of School Education,
DPI Complex,
College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.

3.The Chief Educational Officer,
School Education Department,
Madurai.

4.The District Educational Officer,
Usilampatti,
Madurai District.

5.The Block Educational Officer,
T.Kallupatti,
Madurai District.

+1 CC to M/s.S.VISVALINGAM, Advocate (SR-14046[F] dated
29/03/2021)

W.P. (MD) No.6972 of 2021
29.03.2021

RK (21.04.2021) 4P 7C

=