



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/03/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.3909 of 2021

1.Rasu
2.Thangaraj
3.Rajeshwari
4.Pappathi

... Petitioners/Accused
No.1,3 to 5

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Dindigul District.
Crime No.150 of 2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Venkatesan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.150 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused 1, 3 to 5, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 506(ii) and 379(NP) Act, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is the own brother of the first petitioner, due to family dispute between them, the petitioners attacked the de-facto complainant and also caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in



4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured was also discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Natham, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
18/03/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

WEB COPY

TO

1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NATHAM.

2.DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDUGAL DISTRICT.

3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NATHAM POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to Mr.J.KRISHNA KUMAR, Advocate (SR-2311[I] dated 19/03/2021)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.3909 of 2021

Date :18/03/2021

SJI

TK/VR/SAR.2/23.03.2021/3P/6C