



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 17.03.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM

and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGOVA

H.C.P. (MD) No.392 of 2021

WEB COPY

Paramasivan

... Petitioner/Father of detainee

-vs-

1. The State represented by it's
The Superintendent of Police,
O/o.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Seithur Police Station,
Seithur,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of habeas corpus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to produce the person or body of the petitioner's younger daughter namely, Veeralakshmi, daughter of Paramasivan, aged about 27 years old before this Court and set her at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Ponraj

For Respondents : Mr.K.Dinesh Babu


Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by K.KALYANASUNDARAM, J.]

The petitioner has filed this habeas corpus petition seeking direction to the respondents to produce his younger daughter, namely, Veeralakshmi, aged about 27 years old before this Court and set her at liberty.

2. The petitioner would claim that he is having three daughters and the detainee was married to one Saravanakumar. During the lawful wedlock, they are blessed with a girl child, namely, Madhunisha, aged about 5 years. The petitioner would further state that the detainee is a tailor by profession and she is found missing from 02.03.2021 and her cell phone is also switched off and hence, he lodged a complaint with the second respondent. Since no effective steps was taken, the present habeas corpus petition has been filed.



3. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the petitioner, the detinue and her husband Saravanakumar appeared before this Court through Video Conferencing from the Public Prosecutor Office. On enquiry, the detinue would state that she was married one Saravanakumar in the year 2014 and they are having a child. It is further stated that for the past four years they have been living separately due to some dispute, therefore she started residing with her parents, where, she was harassed so, she left her parents house for searching employment. It is further stated that she is now residing with her friend at Shencottah and after getting job she will contact her father, the petitioner herein.

4. In the light of the above statement, we find no merits in the habeas corpus petition and hence, this petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

am

Note :In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Seithur Police Station,
Seithur, Virudhunagar District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

H.C.P. (MD) No.392 of 2021

17.03.2021

VB (07.04.2021) 2P 4C