



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.03.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P.(MD) No.5473 of 2021

WEB COPY

V.Susai Manickam

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Director of Collegiate Education,
College Road,
Chennai-600 006.

2.The Joint Director of Collegiate Education,
Madurai Region, Madurai.

3.The Secretary,
St.Justin's College of Education,
Madurai-625 009.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to approve the petitioner's appointment as Gardener in the third respondent College in the sanctioned vacancy caused by the death of Mrs.A.Leema Rose with effect from 01.12.2020.

For Petitioner : Mr.E.Martin Jayakumar

For Respondents : Mr.P.Kannithevan
1&2 Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.Since the petitioner's request to the respondents to approve the his appointment as Gardener in the third respondent College in the sanctioned vacancy caused by the death of Mrs.A.Leema Rose with effect from 01.12.2020 was not considered, the Writ Petition has been filed. According to the petitioner, he has made a representation in this regard on 29.12.2020, which is still pending.

3.It is needless to point out that whenever a representation of this nature is made to a Statutory



WEB COPY

Authority, there is a duty cast upon the respondents to consider the same on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in one way or other, instead of keeping the same pending indefinitely. As such, non-consideration of the representation by the Statutory Authority would amount to dereliction of duty and hence this Court will be justified in invoking its extraordinary powers under Article 226 of Constitution of India and direct them to consider the same within a stipulated time.

4. In the light of the above observations, it would be appropriate to direct the respondents 1 and 2 herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated 29.12.2020, within a stipulated time and thereby the ends of justice could be secured. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any of its view with regard to the merits of the claim of the petitioner and that it is open to the concerned respondent to consider the same on its own merits.

5. Accordingly, there shall be a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 29.12.2020, on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

NOTE: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.



WEB COPY

1.The Director of Collegiate Education,
College Road,
Chennai-600 006.

2.The Joint Director of Collegiate Education,
Madurai Region, Madurai.

W.P. (MD) No.5473 of 2021
12.03.2021

GS (24.03.2021) 3P 3C