



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/03/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD)No.3685 of 2021

1.Syed Ali Fathima
2.Rafiqraja
3.Salimraja
4.Sakila Banu
5.Amja @ Amshabegum
6.Chikkanthar Raja @ Mohammed Chikkandar Raja
... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 6

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Viruveedu Police Station,
Viruveedu, Dindigul District.
Crime No.49/2021. ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.K.Arunraj,
Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no. 49 of 2021 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 506(i) and 379 IPC r/w Section 4 of the Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.49 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are family members and there is some dispute with regard to family property. Due to which, the petitioners are said to have scolded the defacto complainant by using filthy



language and threatened her with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to property dispute between the parties, the petitioners threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequences. He would further submit that investigation is pending.

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that it is a property dispute, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakottai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with common surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall appear before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW



(f)if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
09/03/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NILAKOTTAI.
- 2.-DI-THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VIRUVEEDU POLICE STATION,
VIRUVEEDU, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to Mr.K.ARUN RAJ, Advocate (SR-1945[I] dated 10/03/2021)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3685 of 2021
Date :09/03/2021

GNS
AE/JC/SAR-III (16/03/2021) 3P / 6C