



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **09.03.2021**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE **R.THARANI**

W.P. (MD)No.5092 of 2021

WEB COPY

P.Ramamoorthy

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The District Collector,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Sedapatti Police Station,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to grant permission and protection to conduct the Adal Padal cultural Programme on 12.03.2021 from 07.00 p.m., to 10.30 p.m., in pursuant to the Masi Maha Sivarathiri Urchava festival of Arulmighu Sri Munusamy Temple in Poosalapuram, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District and to consider the petitioner's representation dated 02.03.2021.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Prabu

For Respondents : Mr.S.Chandrasekar

Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, thereby directing the respondents herein to grant permission and protection to conduct the Adal Padal cultural Programme on 12.03.2021 from 07.00 p.m., to 10.30 p.m., in pursuant to the Masi Maha Sivarathiri Urchava festival of Arulmighu Sri Munusamy Temple in Poosalapuram, Peraiyur Taluk, Madurai District.

2.On the side of the petitioner, it is stated that the petitioner has sent representations to the first respondent and the third respondent. However, the respondents failed to consider the representations of the petitioner and prayed for suitable direction to be issued to the petitioner.



3. On the side of the respondents, it is stated that there is one more petition filed by another person for conducting cultural programme in the same temple. Only the date is different. One petition is for conducting cultural programme on 13.03.2021 and the other petition is for conducting cultural programme on 12.03.2021.

4. It is seen that the petitioner sent the representations to the first respondent and the third respondent.

5. In view of the same, the first respondent is hereby directed to decide the merits of the representation sent by the petitioner in accordance with the situations prevailing in that area within a period of two days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AD II)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

Mrn

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the Advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The District Collector,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

2. The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Sedapatti Police Station,
Madurai District.



4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

W.P. (MD)No.5092 of 2021
09.03.2021

WEB COPY

KK(09.03.2021) 3P 5C