



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 11.03.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P. (MD) No.5229 of 2021

V.Narayanasamy

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Secretary to Government,
Rural Development
and Panchayat Raj,
Secretariat, St. George Fort,
Chennai - 600 009.

2. The Director,
Director of Rural Development
and Panchayat Raj Department,
Panagal Building,
Chennai - 600 015.

3. The District Collector,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

4. The Commissioner,
Sivakasi Panchayat Union,
Sivakasi,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 respondent to regularize the service of the petitioner from the date of the petitioners initial appointment i.e. from 01.09.1988, by considering the petitioners representation, dated 26.11.2020, within the period that may be stipulated by this Court.


For Petitioner : Mr.E.Marees Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.A.Muthukaruppan for R1 to R3
Additional Government Pleader

Mr.M.Pandiarajan for R4
Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.



2. Since the petitioner's request to the respondents to regularize the service of the petitioner from the date of the petitioner's initial appointment i.e. from 01.09.1988, was not considered, the Writ Petition has been filed. According to the petitioner, she has made a representation in this regard on 26.11.2020, which is still pending.

3. It is needless to point out that whenever a representation of this nature is made to a Statutory Authority, there is a duty cast upon the respondents to consider the same on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in one way or other, instead of keeping the same pending indefinitely. As such, non-consideration of the representation by the Statutory Authority would amount to dereliction of duty and hence this Court will be justified in invoking its extraordinary powers under Article 226 of Constitution of India and direct them to consider the same within a stipulated time.

4. In the light of the above observations, it would be appropriate to direct the second respondent herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated 26.11.2020, within a stipulated time and thereby the ends of justice could be secured. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any of its view with regard to the merits of the claim of the petitioner and that it is open to the concerned respondent to consider the same on its own merits.

5. Accordingly, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 26.11.2020, on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

NOTE: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj,
Secretariat, St. George Fort,
Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Director,
Director of Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Panagal Building,
Chennai - 600 015.
3. The District Collector,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
4. The Commissioner,
Sivakasi Panchayat Union,
Sivakasi,
Virudhunagar District.

+1 CC to Mr.E.MAREES KUMAR, Advocate (SR-10416[F] dated 11/03/2021)

+1 CC to SPL GP (SR-10906[F] dated 12/03/2021)

W.P. (MD) No.5229 of 2021
11.03.2021

VB (07.04.2021) 3P 7C