



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26/02/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.3110 of 2021

1. Balamurugan
2. Saravanan

... Petitioners/Accused No.10 & 41

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Nainarkoil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No. 191 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.K.Sathish Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.V.Chandrasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.191 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused Nos.10 and 41, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Public Property (Prevent of Damage & Loss) Act, 1992, in Crime No.191 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainants in a Temple Function, in which, the petitioners said to have abused the defacto



complainants by using filthy language and also attacked them and threatened them with dire consequences and caused damage to the chimney of the hotel. Hence, the present complaint.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that A1 to A7 have already been granted anticipatory bail by the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram, in Crl.M.P.No.1595 of 2020, dated 16.07.2020. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that the injured persons have already been discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the occurrence said to have taken place in a wordy quarrel and the injured persons have already been discharged from the hospital and co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail by the Court below, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
26/02/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PARAMAKUDI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
2. -DO-THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NAINARKOIL POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to Mr.K.SATHISH KUMAR, Advocate (SR-1557[I] dated 26/02/2021)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3110 of 2021
Date : 26/02/2021

vsg
AE/JC/SAR-III (03/03/2021) 3P / 6C