



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26/02/2021

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.3116 of 2021

Nandha

... Petitioner/Unnamed Accused

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Kovilankulam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
Crime No. 18/2020

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Sivaprakash.S.,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.18 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused No.Rank Not Known, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 397 of IPC, in Crime No.18 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 24.02.2020 the petitioner along with other accused waylaid the defacto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.4000/- from the defacto complainant. Hence, the present complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that co-accused have already been arrested and released on bail by this Court. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner along with other accused waylaid the defacto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.4000/- from the defacto complainant and the same was recovered. No Previous case is pending against the petitioner.

6.It is seen that all the accused persons are said to be member of Palaninathan group who were planning to do away Shanmuganathan and Muneeswaran. The rival group made an attempt but they escaped. Thereafter the above offence is said to have committed. It is seen that there is no complaint from Shanmuganathan or Muneeswaran on the attempt made on them, which is the cause for all the petitioners joining together and thereafter involved in the above case.

7.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the petitioner's name was not found in the FIR and there is no other serious allegation against him and co-accused have already been arrested and released on bail by this Court, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamudhi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
26/02/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KAMUDHI,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KOVILANKULAM POLICE STATION,
RAMANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3116 of 2021
Date :26/02/2021

VSG

TE/SMA/SAR-III : 03/03/2021 : 3P/5C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>