



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 23/02/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No.2415 of 2021

Magesh

... Petitioner/Accused No.3

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Eraniel Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.
Crime No.715/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Murugesan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.715 of 2020 on the
file of the Respondent police.


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused No.3, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under section 379 of I.P.C., in Crime No.715 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and other accused were said to have illegally transported half unit of river sand by using TATA Mini Tempo. Hence, a complaint has been registered.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is not having any bad antecedent. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the



5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner.

6.On perusal of the materials available on records, it is seen that the petitioner has been arrayed as A3. It is also seen that A1 and A2 have already been arrested in the scene of occurrence and the vehicle has been seized as well as 35 bags of river sand. Thereafter, A1 and A2 have already been granted bail. It is also seen that since the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle, he has been implicated in this case.

7.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8.Accordingly, the petitioner shall draw a demand draft in favour of Dean, Kanyakumari Government Hospital, Aasaripallam for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court. The petitioner shall produce the proof of remittance /submission of Demand Draft to Dean while executing sureties. On acknowledgment of the same by the Dean, Kanyakumari Government Hospital, Asaripallam, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Eraniel, Kanyakumari District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Judicial Court is entitled to take appropriate action



against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
23/02/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ERANIEL,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ERANIEL POLICE STATION, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

COPY TO:

THE DEAN,
KANYAKUMARI GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL,
ASARIPALLAM.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.2415 of 2021
Date :23/02/2021

VSG
MS/PN/SAR-1/26.02.2021/3P.6C