



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.02.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P. (MD) No.3105 of 2021

S.Dhanam

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2.The Child Development Officer,
(Integrated Child Development Scheme)
Collectorate, Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

3.The Commissioner of Panchayat,
Reddiyarchathram Panchayat Union,
Dindigul District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the first respondent to promote the petitioner as a noon meal organizer from Noon Meal cook for integrated child development service scheme, Dindigul West Taluk, Dindigul District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 16.12.2020 within the time limit as stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sarvagan Prabhu
For R-1 and R-2 : Mr.S.Muniyasamy
Additional Government Pleader

For R-3 : Mr.M.Murugan
Government Advocate

O R D E R

By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.Since the petitioner's request to the respondents to promote the petitioner as a Noon Meal Organizer from Noon Meal Cook for integrated Child Development Service Scheme, Dindigul West Taluk, Dindigul District, was not considered, the Writ Petition has been filed. According to the petitioner, she has



made a representation in this regard on 16.12.2020, which is still pending.

3. It is needless to point out that whenever a representation of this nature is made to a Statutory Authority, there is a duty cast upon the respondents to consider the same on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in one way or other, instead of keeping the same pending indefinitely. As such, non-consideration of the representation by the Statutory Authority would amount to dereliction of duty and hence this Court will be justified in invoking its extraordinary powers under Article 226 of Constitution of India and direct them to consider the same within a stipulated time.

4. In the light of the above observations, it would be appropriate to direct the first respondent herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated 16.12.2020, within a stipulated time and thereby the ends of justice could be secured. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any of its view with regard to the merits of the claim of the petitioner and that it is open to the concerned respondent to consider the same on its own merits.

5. Accordingly, there shall be a direction to the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 16.12.2020, on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

cp

NOTE :

In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.



To

1.The District Collector,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2.The Child Development Officer,
(Integrated Child Development Scheme)
Collectorate, Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

3.The Commissioner of Panchayat,
Reddiyarchathram Panchayat Union,
Dindigul District.

+1 CC to M/s.S.SARAVANA PRABHU, Advocate (SR-5873[F] dated
18/02/2021)

+1 CC to M/s.SPL GP (SR-6232[F] dated 19/02/2021)

W.P.(MD) No.3105 of 2021

KUN(CO)
TR(05.03.2021) 3P 6C