



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10/02/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD).No.1932 of 2021

Singaravelan

... Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Nainar Koil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No. 159 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ramasamy
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.159 of 2020 on the file of
the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b),
353 and 506(i) of IPC seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 08.05.2020, there was
a awareness programme with regard to Kudi Maramathu Scheme Meeting
in the village conducted by the revenue officials, during the
meeting, the petitioners and other accused persons prevented the
revenue officials to do his official duty and abused them by using
filthy language and also threatened them with dire consequences.
Hence, the present complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the
petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against
him. He would also submit that A1 and A2 were granted anticipatory
bail by this Court on 22.01.2021 in Crl.O.P(MD) No.875 of 2021.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that on 08.05.2020, there was a awareness programme with regard to Kudi Maramathu Scheme Meeting in the village conducted by the revenue officials. During the meeting, the petitioners and other accused persons prevented the revenue officials to do his official duty and abused them by using filthy language and also threatened them with dire consequences.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that except threat, there is no other serious allegation against the petitioner and also the fact that co-accused in this case were granted anticipatory bail by this Court, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
10/02/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PARAMAKUDI
- 2.DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NAINAR KOIL POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1932 of 2021
Date :10/02/2021

AAV
PK/JC/SAR-II/15.02.2021 : 3P/5C