



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/02/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No.1698 of 2021

1.Balakumar
2.Elayaraja
3.Karthikeyan
4.Rajangam
5.Ajithkumar
6.Kattari @ Sekar

... Petitioners/Accused
Rank Not Known

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Thirukattupalli Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
Crime No.70 of 2021.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Kameswaran,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.70 of 2021 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A3 to A8, who were apprehending arrest at the
hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under
sections 379 of I.P.C. and 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development
and Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.70 of 2021 on the file of the
respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners were said
to have illegally transported two units of savudu sand. Hence, a
complaint has been registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted
that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by
the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case.



He further submitted that based on the confession given by A-1 and A-2, the petitioners herein have been implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that petitioners were said to have illegally transported two units of savudu sand. He further submitted that the first and second petitioner are the owner of the Tractor, the third petitioner is the chamber, the fourth petitioner is the Hitachi owner and the fifth and sixth petitioners are drivers. He further submitted that A-1 and A-2 were already arrested and hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Taking note of the above facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.


6.Accordingly, the petitioners 1 to 4 shall draw a demand draft in favour of Dean, Government Hospital, Thanjavur for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each** and the petitioners 5 and 6 shall draw a demand draft in favour of Dean, Government Hospital, Thanjavur for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each** without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court. The petitioners shall produce the proof of remittance /submission of Demand Draft to Dean while executing sureties. On acknowledgment of the same by the Dean, Government Hospital, Thanjavur, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruviyaru, Thanjavur District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
09/02/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUVIYARU, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THIRUKATTUPALLI POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

COPY TO:
THE DEAN,
GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL, THANJAVUR.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1698 of 2021
Date : 09/02/2021

SJI
TK/VR/SAR.3/16.02.2021/3P/6C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>