



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 05/02/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.1594 of 2021

Uthayasuriyan

... Petitioner/Accused
(Accused No.3)

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Alangulam Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.404/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Rajaboopathy.D,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For an Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.404 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is the accused no.3, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 379, 417 and 414 IPC, in Crime No.404 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had committed the theft of Copper Plate from the Alangulam Cement Factory Aluminium Godowns. Hence, the complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is a college student and the petitioner's father is running an old shop, in which, scrap materials are used and that only based on the confession of A1, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that that the petitioner had committed the theft of Copper Plate worth of Rs.1100/- from the Alangulam Cement Factory Aluminium Godowns.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sattur, Virudhunagar District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two Sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

05/02/2021

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
SATTUR, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
2. -DO- THROUGH: THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALANGULAM POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.D.RAJABOOPATHY, Advocate (SR-807[I] dated 09/02/2021)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1594 of 2021

Date :05/02/2021

SM

SRS/JC/SAR-III/11.02.2021/3P/6C