



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 24/02/2021

PRESENT

**The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

CRL OP(MD). No.1282 of 2021

A.Sudalai

... Petitioner/Accused No.5

Vs

State Rep.by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Aralvoimozhi Police Station,  
Aralvoimozhi, Kanniyakumari District.  
Crime No.22 of 2021

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan, Advocate.  
For Respondent : Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu,  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.22 of 2021 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A5, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under section 379 of I.P.C., in Crime No.22 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and others accused were said to have illegally transported red soil. Hence, a complaint has been registered.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is not having any bad antecedent. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the



5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner.

6.Earlier this Court by order dated 15.02.2021, the investigation officer is directed to be present before this Court along with CD file on 23.02.2021 to ascertain whether the particulars have been collected from the Regional Transport Authority about the Registration and ownership of the said vehicles and whether the owner and the driver of the vehicle were added as an accused.

7.Today, the respondent Police is present and reported that the petitioner is not the owner of the vehicle and he is the driver of the Tempo.

8.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

9.Accordingly, the petitioner shall draw a demand draft in favour of Dean, Government Medical college and Hospital, Kanniyakumari, for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court. The petitioner shall produce the proof of remittance / submission of Demand Draft to Dean while executing sureties. On acknowledgment of the same by the Dean, Government Medical college and Hospital, Kanniyakumari, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Boothapandi, Kanniyakumari District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-  
24/02/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, BOOTHAPANDI, KANNIYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
KANNIYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
ARALVOIMOZHI POLICE STATION,  
ARALVOIMOZHI, KANNIYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

**COPY TO:**

THE DEAN,  
GOVERNMENT MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL,  
KANNIYAKUMARI.

+1 CC to M/s.N.PRAGALATHAN, Advocate ( SR-1414[I] dated 24/02/2021 )

ORDER IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.1282 of 2021  
Date :24/02/2021

VSG

<https://hmcvtc.org.in/CaseDetails.aspx?CaseNo=3.2021/3P.7C>