



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04/02/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) . No.1462 of 2021

Anusuya

... Petitioner/Accused No.6

Vs

State through,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.
Crime No.18 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Arputharaj,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.18 of 2020 on the file of
the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as Accused No.6, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 323, 498(A), 494 of IPC and Section 4 of Women Harassment Act and Section 75 of Juvenile Justice Care and Protection Act, in Crime No.18 of 2020 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that A1 is the husband of the defacto complainant. The marriage between the petitioner and the defacto complainant taken place in the year 2007. Out of their wedlock, they were blessed with female child. Thereafter, due to matrimonial dispute, the defacto complainant left the matrimonial home and stayed with her parental house. Thereafter, the petitioner has lodged the present complaint alleging that A1 has performed the second marriage with the petitioner/A6.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to matrimonial dispute, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to matrimonial dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place. He further submitted that the main allegation is against A1 only and there is no specific overt act attributed as against the petitioner.

6. This is the second anticipatory bail application. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the main allegation is against A1 only and there is no specific overt act attributed as against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or her appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Ramanathapuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate Court is entitled to take appropriate action



against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
04/02/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
RAMANATHAPURAM
- 2.DO THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1462 of 2021
Date :04/02/2021

VSG
PK/JC/SAR-IV/09.02.2021 : 3P/5C