



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04/02/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.1485 of 2021

R.Pandian

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Boothapandy Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.
Crime No.388/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Karthikeyavenkitachalapathy,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Chandra Sekaran,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory bail in Crime No.388 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent police.


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.2, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 341, 294 (b) and 506 (II) of IPC, in Crime No.388 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with other accused said to have abused the defacto complainant, who is the practicing lawyer by using filthy language and attacked him with stick and caused injury. Hence, the complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the

<https://hcservices.eprints.gov.in/hcservices/>



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the the injured sustained only simple injury and he was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), on instructions, submitted that the injured sustained only simple injury and he was discharged from the hospital.

6.This is the second application and this Court has already granted anticipatory bail to the very same petitioner. But, he was unable to execute the sureties. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured sustained only simple injury and he was discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Boothapandy, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix his photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure his identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

04/02/2021

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
BOOTHAPANDY.
2. -DO- THROUGH: THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BOOTHAPANDY POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.1485 of 2021

Date :04/02/2021

VSG

SRS/JC/SAR-III/09.02.2021/3P/5C