



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.09.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **S.S.SUNDAR**

W.P. (MD) No.7204 of 2013

and

M.P. (MD) No.2 of 2013

WEB COPY

A.Senthil Kumar

... Petitioner

vs.

Diwan-cum-Executive Secretary,
Ramanathapuram Samasthanam Dewasthanam,
Head Office of Ramanathapuram Samasthanam Dewasthanam,
Ramanathapuram.

... Respondent


PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the respondent in Na.Ka.No.A5/1594/2012 dated 23.11.2012 and 21.02.2013 in the file of the respondent and quash the same as illegal and further to direct the respondent to pay petitioner's pensionary/retirement benefits as per the petitioner's application dated 30.08.2012 in the light of Rule 7-D of Tamilnadu Hindu Religious Institution (Officers & Servant) Service Rules, 1964.

For Petitioner :No Appearance

For Respondent :Mr.V.Raghavachari

O R D E R

This Writ Petition was listed on several occasions and there was no representation for the petitioner on many occasions. When the Writ Petition appeared in the list on 02.08.2021, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the matter was adjourned to next week. Again, the matter was adjourned, at the request of the learned Counsel for the respondent on the second occasion. The presence of the learned Counsel for the petitioner was not noticed. On 16.08.2021, when the Writ Petition was listed, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, this Court directed the Registry to post the matter under the caption "for dismissal". However, on the next occasion, at request of the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the Writ Petition was adjourned for taking steps to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased petitioner, as it was represented that the petitioner was no more.



2. When the Writ Petition is taken up for hearing today, ie., 14.09.2021, there is no representation for the petitioner. Having regard to the conduct and attitude of the petitioner's Counsel, this Court has no other option, but to dismiss the Writ Petition for non prosecution. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non prosecution. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

tmg/cmr

+1 CC to M/s.V.RAGHAVACHARI, Advocate (SR-29009[F] dated 14/09/2021)

W.P. (MD) No. 7204 of 2013
14.09.2021

RK (24.09.2021) 2P 2C