



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/02/2021

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD)No.843 of 2021

Prabha @ Pappa Karthick

... Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs

The Sub-Inspector of Police,
C.3, S.S.Colony Police Station (L and O),
S.S.Colony, Madurai.
(Crime No.30/2021).

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.C.M.Arumugam,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C


PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.30/2021 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 4(2) (a), 4(2) (c), 5(1) (a), 5(1) (d) ITP Act, 1956 and Section 370(a) (2) IPC in Crime No.30 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons are involved in serious offence of brothel and except this petitioner, all other accused were red handed by setting trap. The petitioner herein arranged young girls for immoral activities as against their wish. Hence, the present complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner



was not present in the scene of occurrence and the respondent police falsely implicated the petitioner herein instead of someone else. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner and other accused have committed very serious offence as against the innocent young girls. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly,the petitioner shall draw a demand draft in favour of Dean, Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court. The petitioner shall produce the proof of remittance /submission of Demand Draft to Dean while executing sureties.

7.On acknowledgment of the same by the Dean, Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or her appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall appear before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned



Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

sd/-
11/02/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
DINDIGUL.
- 2.-DO-THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3 THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
C.3, S.S.COLONY POLICE STATION (L AND O),
S.S.COLONY, MADURAI.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

COPY TO: THE DEAN,
RAJAJI GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL, MADURAI.

+1 CC to Mr.C.M.ARUMUGAM, Advocate (SR-961[I] dated 12/02/2021)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.843 of 2021
Date :11/02/2021

GNS
AE/JC/SAR-III (18/02/2021) 3P / 7C