



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.01.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P. (MD) No.1165 of 2021

Selin Babu

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Assistant Treasury Officer,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.

2.The Assistant Executive Engineer,
Office of the Assistant Engineer (WRO),
Vaippar Basin Sub Division,
Tuticorin.

3.The Principal Accountant General,
Chennai.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to consider the grievances of the petitioner on the basis of her representation dated 29.09.2020 and direct the 3rd respondent to sanction the family pension to the petitioner in view of the G.O.Ms.No.29, dated 31.01.2013, Finance (Pension) Department.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Muthu Ganesa Pandian


For Respondents : Mr.P.Mahendran
Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.Since the petitioner's request to the respondents to sanction the family pension to the petitioner in view of the G.O.Ms.No.29, dated 31.01.2013, Finance (Pension) Department, was not considered, the Writ Petition has been filed. According to the petitioner, she has made a representation in this regard on 29.09.2020, which is still pending.

3. It is needless to point out that whenever a representation of this nature is made to a Statutory Authority, there is a duty cast upon the respondents to consider the same on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in one way or other, instead of keeping the same pending indefinitely. As such, non-



consideration of the representation by the Statutory Authority would amount to dereliction of duty and hence this Court will be justified in invoking its extraordinary powers under Article 226 of Constitution of India and direct them to consider the same within a stipulated time.

WEB COPY

4. In the light of the above observations, it would be appropriate to direct the first respondent herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated 29.09.2020, within a stipulated time and thereby the ends of justice could be secured. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any of its view with regard to the merits of the claim of the petitioner and that it is open to the concerned respondent to consider the same on its own merits.

5. Accordingly, there shall be a direction to the first respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 29.09.2020, on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

NOTE: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1. The Assistant Treasury Officer,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.

2. The Assistant Executive Engineer,
Office of the Assistant Engineer (WRO),
Vaippar Basin Sub Division,
Tuticorin.



3.The Principal Accountant General,
Chennai.

+1 CC to SPL GP (SR-2161[F] dated 27/01/2021)

WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.1165 of 2021
25.01.2021

(CO)

kv(08.02.2021) 3P 5C