



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/01/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD). No.452 of 2021

1. Rajendran
2. Ramasamy
3. Palanisamy
4. Rengaiah
5. Adaikalam
6. Chitra

... Petitioners/Accused
Rank Not Known

Vs

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Annavasal Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
Crime No.539 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.Arun Kumar.K.G,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.V.Chandrasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.539 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused No.Rank Not Known, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.539 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioners said to have abused the defacto complainant and attacked him. Based on the direction given by lower Court under Section 156(3), the present crime case been



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that due to previous enmity, the occurrence said to have taken place.

6. Considering the above circumstances and also considering the fact that due to previous enmity, the occurrence said to have taken place and there is no other serious allegation against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Illuppur, Pudukkottai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions



learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
18/01/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

- 1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ILLUPPUR, PUDUKKOTTAI.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PUDUKKOTTAI.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANNAVASAL POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.452 of 2021
Date :18/01/2021

vsg
JM/PN/SAR III/25.01.2021/3P/5C