



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.01.2021

CORAM:

WEB COPY

**THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA
AND
THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.KANNAMMAL**

W.A(MD)NO.814 OF 2012

and

M.P(MD)No.1 of 2012

P.Vincent Rover

:Appellant/Petitioner

.vs.

1. The District Education Officer,
Paramakudi,
Ramnad District.

2. P.Rajendran

3. The Bishop,
Tamil Evangelical Lutheran Church,
Tranquebar House,
Tiruchirappalli-1.

4. The Secretary,
Church Council,
Tranquebar House,
Tiruchirappalli-1.

: Respondents/Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent praying this Court to set aside the order passed by this Court in W.P(MD)No.11053 of 2011, dated 05.09.2012.

Prayer in WP(MD). 11053 of 2011 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the proceedings of the 1st Respondent made in Na.Ka.No.4707/AA1/2010, dated 20.09.2011 and quash the same.

For Appellant

:Mr.M.Suresh Kumar

For Respondent-1

:Mrs.S.Srimathy
Special Govt.Pleader

For Respondents
2 and 4

:Mr.B.Prahalad Ravi
for M/s.Hallmark Associates

**JUDGMENT**

[Judgment of the Court was made by **PUSHPA SATHYANARAYANA, J.**]

This Writ Appeal is directed against the order passed by this Court made in W.P(MD)No.11053 of 2011, dated 05.09.2012.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.

3.The Writ Appellant originally filed W.P(MD)No.11053 of 2011 challenging the proceedings of the first respondent/The District Education Officer, Paramakudi, Ramnad District in Na.Ka.No.4707/A1/2010, dated 20.09.201.

4.The issue relates to the appointment of Correspondent of TELC High School, Muthukulathur. The Petitioner was originally appointed as Correspondent for the period between 2010-2013. But the impugned order was passed by the District Education Officer, Paramakudi, Ramnad District appointing one Mr.P.Rajendran as Correspondent to the said School, which was challenged in the Writ Petition. Pending Writ Petition, the impugned order was withdrawn. Therefore, the Writ Petition was rendered infructuous. However, when there was a disturbance to the functioning of the Writ Petitioner, the present Writ Appeal was filed. Now the learned counsel for the appellant states that the period itself is over in the year 2013 and therefore, nothing survives for adjudication and that the Writ Appeal has become infructuous.

5.Recording the above-said submission, the Writ Appeal is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()


// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Vsn

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.



To

The District Education Officer,
Paramakudi,
Ramnad District.

+1 CC to Mr.M.SURESH KUMAR, Advocate (SR-2437[F] dated 29/01/2021)
+1 CC to SPL GP (SR-2797[F] dated 02/02/2021)

JUDGMENT MADE IN
W.A(MD)NO.814 OF 2012
and
M.P(MD)No.1 of 2012
29.01.2021

VB (09.02.2021) 3P 4C