



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/01/2021

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.429 of 2021

1. Jeya Murugan
2. Pavun @ Sivakumar
3. Selva
4. Santhana Selvi
5. Rajeswari

... Petitioners/Accused 1to5

Vs

The State rep. by
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Sayerpuram Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Crime No.259/2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.Joseph Zinoson.J,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.V.Chandrasekaran,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.259/2020 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused No.1 to 5, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.259 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to civil dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and attacked him with deadly weapons and caused injuries, hence, the present complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that it is a case in counter, earlier the petitioners have been attacked by the defacto complainant and hence, the petitioners have given a complaint against the defacto complainant and the same has been registered in Crime No.257 of 2020 for the offence under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC. As a counter blast, the present complaint has been filed. Hence, he seek anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to civil dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place. He further submitted that it is a case in counter. He further submitted that in a counter case, the defacto complainant has been granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Thoothukudi, in Cr.M.P.No.3435 of 2020, by order dated 21.12.2020.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that due to civil dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place and it is a case in counter and there is no other serious allegation pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Thoothukudi District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness services or tamper with investigation or trial;



(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

18/01/2021

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
2. -DO- THROUGH: THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SAYERPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.429 of 2021

Date :18/01/2021

VSG

<https://hcmr.cemts.gov.in/hcscservices/1.01.2021/3P/5C>