



forthcoming from the respondent, the petitioner is before this Court.

3.The petitioner cannot seek a simple innocuous direction from this Court to dispose of his representation and no such direction could ever be issued at the instance of the interested party on the basis of self-serving averments of the petitioner to direct the revenue authorities to act in a particular manner, particularly, in respect of grant of title to the properties.

4.It is always possible that if any such direction is issued by this Court, the same may be misused by the party for his own illegitimate advantage and to serve his own improper claims. It is always open to the petitioner to pursue his remedy before the authority and in case, no action has been taken, it is open to the petitioner to resort to appropriate remedy before the Civil Court to establish his title to the property and certainly it is not open him to invoke the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court.

5.It is trite law that in matters of title dispute, this Court cannot venture into the factual areas of the controversy and give any finding. Instead of seeking remedy before the appropriate civil forum, the petitioner is only attempting to bark upon a wrong tree.

6.In that view of the matter, this Court finds that the Writ Petition is not maintainable. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

SRM

To

The Tahsildar,
Madurai West,
Madurai District.

+1 CC to SPL GP (SR-1362[F] dated 20/01/2021)

W. P (MD) No. 532 of 2021

19.01.2021

VB (04.02.2021) 2P 3C