



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 08.01.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE R. HEMALATHA

WP(MD)No.196 of 2021 &

WMP(MD)No.161 of 2021

WEB COPY

Ramu

... Petitioner

vs.

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Sivagangai District-630 562.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
DSP Office, Manamadurai Division,
Manamadurai - 630 606.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Manamadurai Police Station,
Sivagangai District - 630606.
- 4.G.Sundharamanikam,
Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Manamadurai - 630 606.
- 5.Karpakam
- 6.Siva @ Sivakumar
- 7.Yelammal
- 8.Thillai

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent not to harass the petitioner and her family members and also directing the third respondent to take legal action based on the petitioner's complaint dated 29.12.2020 within a stipulated time.

For Petitioner :Mr.R.Senthilkumar
For Respondents 1 to 3:Mr.R.Saravana Kumar,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

O R D E R

By consent, this Writ Petition is taken up for final hearing.

2. The main grievance of the petitioner is that a complaint dated 04.07.2020 was lodged by the petitioner to the third respondent police and that on 08.12.2020, the second respondent / Deputy Superintendent of Police, Manamadurai Division, Manamadurai, called the petitioner over phone and abused her in filthy language and also coerced her to compromise the issue between her and the private respondents.



3. Mr.R.Saravana Kumar, learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) who accepts notice for the respondents I to III would submit that the complaint dated 04.07.2020 was registered in CSR.No.397 of 2020 by the III respondent and both parties were advised to approach the competent civil forum to resolve their dispute. Subsequently, the complaint given to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Manamadurai Division was registered in Current Petition No.289/2020, and an enquiry was also conducted. According to him, a compromise was arrived at between the two parties. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner never agreed for any compromise and that the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Manamadurai Division abused her in filthy language and did not consider her representation dated 29.12.2020.

4. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, the third respondent is directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 29.12.2020 within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order / uploading of the order after affording necessary opportunities to the respondents 4 to 8 in accordance with law.

5. With the above observations, this petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Superintendent of Police Office,
Sivagangai District-630 562.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
DSP Office, Manamadurai Division,
Manamadurai - 630 606.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



3.The Inspector of Police,
Manamadurai Police Station,
Sivagangai District - 630606.

WEB COPY

WP(MD)No.196 of 2021 &
WMP(MD)No.161 of 2021
08.01.2021

VB (04.02.2021) 3P 4C