



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.01.2021

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P. (MD)No.200 of 2021

WEB COPY

Kalaiselvam

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Thanjavur,
Thanjavur District.

2.The Assistant Director,
Department of Geology and Mines,
Thanjavur,
Thanjavur District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Bhoodhalur Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to release the petitioner's Tipper Lorry Vehicle bearing Registration No.TN 22 AU 8010 within the stipulated time fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.T.Sasidharan Tamilkani
For Respondents	: Mr.B.Bhagawathi Government Advocate.

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel on either side. By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2.The petitioner claims to be the owner of the petition mentioned vehicle. It was seized in connection with the petition mentioned crime number. The petitioner's counsel states that till date the vehicle in question has not been produced before the jurisdictional court.

3.It has been held time and again that keeping the vehicle in the custody of the respondents is not going to serve any purpose. On the other hand, it will contribute to loss of value. Even though the criminal case has been registered, the vehicle in question is yet to be produced before the jurisdictional Court. The case is still under investigation. Therefore, the Writ Court

<https://www.mca.gov.in/>



4.The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the vehicle has not been involved in any previous case of the same nature. He also submitted that the petitioner is also not having any previous case.

WEB COPY

5.The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the decision reported in (2002) 10 SCC 283 (Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and Others V. State of Gujarat) has held as follows:-

"17.In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.

6.The petitioner's counsel states that the petition mentioned vehicle is in the custody of the respondents for almost an year.

7. Therefore, I refrain from imposing any cost. I direct release of the petition mentioned vehicle subject to the following conditions :

- a) The petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in question till the proceedings are completed.
- b) The petitioner shall produce all the documents pertaining to the ownership of the seized vehicle. If the petitioner concerned is an agreement holder and the R.C book is with the financier, he or she can be permitted to produce the photocopies of the relevant documents and if the vehicle is a new one, sales invoice can be produced.
- c) As and when the respondents call for the vehicle for enquiry, the petitioner has to produce the vehicle in question and shall cooperate with the enquiry to be conducted by the respondents.

8.After completion of the aforesaid formalities, the respondents shall release the petition mentioned vehicle forthwith without any delay. If the undertaking given by the petitioner is breached, the petitioner will not be entitled to interim release of the vehicle in future and the order passed by this Court will stand recalled and the vehicle in question will be taken back to



custody and it will be released only after getting orders from this Court on such terms as this Court may deem it fit to impose.

9.The Writ Petition is allowed accordingly. No costs.

WEB COPY

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

rmi

Note :In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

- 1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Thanjavur,
Thanjavur District.
- 2.The Assistant Director,
Department of Geology and Mines,
Thanjavur,
Thanjavur District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Bhoodhalur Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

+1 CC to SGP (SR-978[F] dated 18/01/2021)

W.P. (MD)No.200 of 2021
08.01.2021

KM (22.01.2021) 3P 5C