



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15.09.2021

WEB COPY

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

W.P.No.32239 of 2017

K.Dhanalakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by its Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 9.
2. The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Housing Board,
No.331, Anna Salai,
Nandanam, Chennai - 35.
3. The Special Tahsildar,
Land Acquisition,
Kovai Housing Board,
Ganapathi, Scheme-II,
Coimbatore - 12.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of declaration, to declare that the land acquisition proceedings initiated under the repealed Land Acquisition Act, 1894, culminating passing of Award No.6 of 1999 dated 24.12.1999 by the third respondent, in so far as it relates to the acquisition of an extent of one acre in Punja Survey Nos.151/1E and 151/2 at Vilankurichi Village, Coimbatore, belonging to the petitioner, as lapsed in view of Section 24 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (Act 30 of 2013).



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Sankaran

For Respondents : Mr.M.R.Gokul Krishnan

Government Advocate

(for R-1 & R-3)

: Dr.R.Gowri

Standing Counsel (for R-2)

O R D E R

This petition has been filed seeking to declare that the land acquisition proceedings initiated under the repealed Land Acquisition Act, 1894, culminating passing of Award No.6 of 1999 dated 24.12.1999 by the third respondent, in so far as it relates to the acquisition of an extent of one acre in Punja Survey Nos.151/1E and 151/2 at Vilankurichi Village, Coimbatore, belonging to the petitioner, as lapsed, in view of Section 24 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (Act 30 of 2013) (hereinafter referred to as 'the New Act' for short).

2. Heard Mr.G.Sankaran, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr.M.R.Gokul Krishnan, learned Government Advocate appearing for the first and third respondents and Dr.R.Gowri, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3. The grounds raised by the petitioner in this Writ Petition have already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the judgment reported in (2020) 8 SCC 129 in the case of Indore Development Authority Vs. Manoharlal and ors etc., which held as follows :-

"366. In view of the aforesaid discussion, we answer the questions as under:

1. Under the provisions of Section 24(1)(a) in case the award is not made as on 1.1.2014 the date of commencement of Act of 2013, there is no lapse of proceedings. Compensation has to be determined under the provisions of Act of 2013.

2. In case the award has been passed within the window period of five years excluding the period covered by an interim order of the court, then proceedings shall



WEB COPY

continue as provided under Section 24(1)(b) of the Act of 2013 under the Act of 1894 as if it has not been repealed.

3. The word or used in Section 24(2) between possession and compensation has to be read as nor or as and. The deemed lapse of land acquisition proceedings under Section 24(2) of the Act of 2013 takes place where due to inaction of authorities for five years or more prior to commencement of the said Act, the possession of land has not been taken nor compensation has been paid. In other words, in case possession has been taken, compensation has not been paid then there is no lapse. Similarly, if compensation has been paid, possession has not been taken then there is no lapse.

4. The expression 'paid' in the main part of Section 24(2) of the Act of 2013 does not include a deposit of compensation in court. The consequence of non-deposit is provided in proviso to Section 24(2) in case it has not been deposited with respect to majority of land holdings then all beneficiaries (landowners) as on the date of notification for land acquisition under Section 4 of the Act of 1894 shall be entitled to compensation in accordance with the provisions of the Act of 2013. In case the obligation under Section 31 of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894 has not been fulfilled, interest under Section 34 of the said Act can be granted. Non-deposit of compensation (in court) does not result in the lapse of land acquisition proceedings. In case of non-deposit with respect to the majority of holdings for five years or more, compensation under the Act of 2013 has to be paid to the "landowners" as on the date of notification for land acquisition under Section 4 of the Act of 1894.

5. In case a person has been tendered the compensation as provided under Section 31(1) of the Act of 1894, it is not open to him to claim that acquisition has lapsed under



WEB COPY

Section 24(2) due to non-payment or non-deposit of compensation in court. The obligation to pay is complete by tendering the amount under Section 31(1). Land owners who had refused to accept compensation or who sought reference for higher compensation, cannot claim that the acquisition proceedings had lapsed under Section 24(2) of the Act of 2013.

6. The proviso to Section 24(2) of the Act of 2013 is to be treated as part of Section 24(2) not part of Section 24(1)(b).

7. The mode of taking possession under the Act of 1894 and as contemplated under Section 24(2) is by drawing of inquest report/memorandum. Once award has been passed on taking possession under Section 16 of the Act of 1894, the land vests in State there is no divesting provided under Section 24(2) of the Act of 2013, as once possession has been taken there is no lapse under Section 24(2).

8. The provisions of Section 24(2) providing for a deemed lapse of proceedings are applicable in case authorities have failed due to their inaction to take possession and pay compensation for five years or more before the Act of 2013 came into force, in a proceeding for land acquisition pending with concerned authority as on 1.1.2014. The period of subsistence of interim orders passed by court has to be excluded in the computation of five years.

9. Section 24(2) of the Act of 2013 does not give rise to new cause of action to question the legality of concluded proceedings of land acquisition. Section 24 applies to a proceeding pending on the date of enforcement of the Act of 2013, i.e., 1.1.2014. It does not revive stale and time-barred claims and does not reopen concluded proceedings nor allow landowners to question the legality of mode of taking possession to reopen proceedings or mode of deposit of compensation in the treasury instead of court to invalidate acquisition."



4. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India settled all propositions of law in the above judgment including the grounds raised by the petitioner herein. That apart, the compensation of Rs.1,06,235/- was received by the petitioner as early as on 18.01.2000. Insofar as the possession of the property is concerned, it has been taken over on 06.04.2000 and handed over to the requisitioning body. Therefore, the petitioner failed to satisfy the twin requirements under Section 24 (2) of the New Act i.e., the physical possession of the land was not taken and the compensation has not been paid/tendered/deposited in accordance with law. In view of the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, the issues raised by the petitioner were settled and therefore, the acquisition proceedings have not been lapsed by operation of law under Section 24 (2) of the New Act i.e., Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013. In view of the settled position of law, the writ petition is devoid of merits and liable to be dismissed.

5. In the result, the Writ Petition stands dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

kv

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Housing and Urban Development Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 9.



2. The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Housing Board,
No.331, Anna Salai,
Nandanam, Chennai - 35.

WEB COPY

3. The Special Tahsildar,
Land Acquisition,
Kovai Housing Board,
Ganapathi, Scheme-II,
Coimbatore - 12.

W.P.No.32239 of 2017

AK (CO)

K.RK. (11.10.2021)