



Cont.P.Nos.891 to 893 of 2017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 24..08..2021

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.SUNDRESH
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR**

Contempt Petition Nos.891 to 893 of 2017

Statco Infraprojects Pvt Ltd.,
Formerly known as Karismaa MEP Services Pvt. Ltd.,
Represented by Mr.Manoj K.Sheth
Flat-1-C, KG Spring Manor,
23, 1st Main Road, Sastrinagar,
Adayar, Chennai 600020.

... Petitioner in all three contempt petitions

.. Vs ..

M/s.KGS Constructions Limited,
Rep. By its Managing Director,
No.43, Besant Avenue Road,
Adayar, Chennai 600 020,
Also at No.63, Kamaraj Avenue 1st Street,
Adayar, Chennai 600020.

... Respondent in all three contempt petitions

Prayer in Cont.P.No.891 of 2017:- Petition is filed under Section 11 of The Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, praying to punish the respondent for the willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this court dated 06.02.2017 made in O.S.A.No.12 of 2017.

Prayer in Cont.P.No.892 of 2017:- Petition is filed under Section 11 of The Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, praying to punish the respondent for the



Cont.P.Nos.891 to 893 of 2017

willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this court dated 06.02.2017 made in O.S.A.No.13 of 2017.

Prayer in Cont.P.No.893 of 2017:- Petition is filed under Section 11 of The Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, praying to punish the respondent for the willful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this court dated 06.02.2017 made in O.S.A.No.14 of 2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.Adithya Reddy for
petitioner in all Cont. Petitions

For Respondent : Mr.K.Raja Srinivas for
respondent in all Cont. Petitions

COMMON ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR,J.]

Alleging that the judgment of this court dated 06.02.2017 made in O.S.A.Nos.12, 13 and 14 of 2017 has not been complied with, the present contempt petitions have been filed.

2. It is submitted by Mr.Adithya Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner that the parties have arrived at a settlement. It is a contempt petition and therefore, we do not propose to go into the terms of the settlement. Suffice it to say that the parties have given quietus to the order out of which the contempt arises.

3. In view of the above, contempt petition stands closed. Though obvious it is made clear that any breach qua terms of the compromise will



Cont.P.Nos.891 to 893 of 2017

leave the petitioner with the rights to come to this Court again by way of contempt.

SD/-
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR(COMM.CASES)

kmk

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the day of 2021.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)

from 25th day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing Certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

GS/23/11/2021