



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.12.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.25152 of 2021

Baskaran

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by its
The Inspector of Police,
Kadathur Police Station
Erode District
(Crime No.311 of 2021)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C praying to enlarge the petitioner/accused No.1 herein on bail in Crime No.311 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.G.Pavendhan


For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.11.2021 for the offences under Sections 4(1)(a) r/w 4 (1-A) Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.311 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 30.11.2021, the petitioner/A1 along with A2 was found in illegal possession of 14 bottles of IMFL, each containing 180 ml out of which, 6 bottles were in lid opened condition, which emitted poisonous smell. Hence this case.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that A2 has been released on bail and that he has been



suffering incarceration for more than 23 days from 30.11.2021. Further, on instructions, he would submit that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- to any Charitable Institute without prejudice to his rights and contentions as may be directed by this Court and he would pray for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) raised objection stating that the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and he has got 7 previous cases against him but admits that the investigation is almost completed.

5. In order to curb the illegal activities and considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall be directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), to the credit of the Registered Advocates Clerks Association, Erode, without prejudice to his rights and contentions. The amount so deposited shall be utilised by the Association for the welfare of the Advocates.

6. It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioner to the said Association would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the investigation is almost completed and the fact that the co-accused/A2 has been released on bail and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Gobichettypalayam, and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner shall make non-refundable deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) through demand draft to the Registered Advocates Clerks Association, Erode, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and the learned Judicial Magistrate, after perusing the challan/ receipt, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(b) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Wednesday and Saturday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
22/12/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.II, GOBICHETTPALAYAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
ERODE [FOR INFORMATION].

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADATHUR POLICE STATION,
ERODE DISTRICT

4 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, COIMBATORE.



5 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

6 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATE
CLERK ASSOCIATION, ERODE.

CC to M/S.G.PAVENDHAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.25152/2021

Date :22/12/2021

JPA 22/12/2021