



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Second day of December Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs Justice T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.24651 of 2021

1 N.VINOTH KUMAR
2 L.GOVINDARAJU
3 G.MUTHUSAMY
4 GOVINDARAJ

[PETITIONERS / ACCUSED]

Vs

1STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VARANJARAM POLICE STATION,
KALLAKURICHI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.480/2021)

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.P.RAJAVEL Advocate

For Respondent : MR.N.S.SUGANTHAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor : MR.P.PARAMASIVADOSS Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 294 (b), 323 and 506 (ii) of IPC in Cr.No.480 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant lodged a complaint before the respondent police stated that petitioners abused him with filthy languages and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the law enforcing agency registered a case against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that petitioners abused the and attacked the defacto complainant and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



5. The learned counsel for the intervener raised objection stated that defacto complainant who is aged about 70 years who sold the property to petitioner and get partial amount a sum of Rs.8,50,000/-, but, the respondent failed to pay the remaining amount, when the defacto complainant asked about the same, respondents abused him with filthy languages and assaulted him. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of bail.

6. Considering the entire facts, which reveals that defacto complainant is aged about 70 years, who was cheated by the petitioners, which needs detailed investigation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

22/12/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VARANJARAM POLICE STATION,
KALLAKURICHI DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.P.PARAMASIVADOSS Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.15479

CRL OP.24651/2021

Date :22/12/2021

CSK 05/01/2022