



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 14.12.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

Cr1.O.P.No.24277 of 2021

Karikalan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by
Inspector of Police
Needamangalam Police Station,
Thiruvarur District
Crime No.589 of 2021

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.589 of 2021 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Needamangalam Police Station, Thiruvarur District.

For Petitioner : Mr.Swamisubramanian

For Respondent : Mr.N.S.Suganthan
Government Advocate (Cr1. Side)

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.11.2021 for the offence under Sections 379 and 430 of I.P.C and Sections 4(1) (1-A), 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act 1957, in Crime No.589 of 2021, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 22.08.2021 at about 5.45 a.m, when the VAO was on patrol duty on a secret information, the petitioner and other accused were found illegally transporting 1½ units of Padugai sand by using Tata 407 Van without any valid permission from the Government. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is no way connected with the above said offence and he has been suffering incarceration for more than 10 days from 29.11.2021. However, on instructions, he further submitted that the petitioner, without prejudice to his rights, on his own volition, is ready to pay a sum of Rs.15,000/- to any Charitable institution as may be directed by this Court.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would raise objection stating the petitioner was found illegally transporting 1½ units of Padugai Sand without any licence and he has got 1 previous case.

5.In order to curb the illegal sand mining activities and also considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall be directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only) to the credit of the Registered Advocates Clerks Association, Thiruvarur District, without prejudice to his rights and contentions. The amount so deposited shall be utilised by the Association for the welfare of the Advocates.

6.It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioner to the said Association would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsiff-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Needamangalam, Thiruvarur District, and on further conditions that:

(a) the petitioner shall make non-refundable deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only) through demand draft to the Registered Advocates Clerks Association, Thiruvarur District, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and the learned Judicial Magistrate, after perusing the challan/ receipt, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(b)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;



(c) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Wednesday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

-sd/-
14/12/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NEEDAMANGALAM,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
SUB JAIL, MANNARGUDI.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NEEDAMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUVARUR DISTRICT.



5 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

6 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATES
CLERKS ASSOCIATION, THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

CC to M/S. SWAMISUBRAMANIAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges

CRL OP.24277/2021

Date :14/12/2021

RW 15/12/2021