



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.12.2021

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CrI.O.P.No.23717 of 2021

Kalidasan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State Represent by
Inspector of Police,
Baluchettychatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
(Crime No.83 of 2020)

...Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in the hands of the respondent in Crime No.83 of 2020 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Saranraj

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gokula Krishnan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 379 and 430 of IPC r/w 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation Act) 1957 and Section 3(1) of Tamilnadu Public Property (Prevent of Damage and Loss) Act 1992 in Crime No.83 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 27.02.2020, when the respondent police were on the regular checking of vehicles, they found that the petitioner's vehicle viz., Bulldozer (JCB) was damaged the Vegavathi River Belt and involved in sand theft. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instructions, the learned counsel further submits that the petitioner, on his own volition, is ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- to any Charitable Purpose as may be directed by this Court and he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that the petitioner's vehicle viz., Bulldozer (JCB) was damaged the Vegavathi River Belt and involved in sand theft.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submissions made by the both counsel and also the fact that the petitioner has willfully and on his own volition agreed to contribute a sum of Rs.10,000/- for charitable purpose, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Kanchipuram on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) to the Registered Advocates Clerks Association, Kanchipuram District, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below;

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner is directed to report before the respondent police on every Friday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and required for interrogation;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];



[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC;

-sd/-

10/12/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.I, KANCHIPURAM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHENGALPATTU (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
BALUCHETTYCHATRAM POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT

4 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

5 THE REGISTERED ADVOCATE
CLERKS ASSOCIATION,
KANCHIPURAM DISTRICT.

CC to M/S.A.SARANRAJ Advocate on payment of necessary charges
Sr.14612

CRL OP.23717/2021

Date :10/12/2021

RVR 16/12/2021