



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Fifteenth day of December Two Thousand Twenty One

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs Justice T.V. THAMILSELVI

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.23517 of 2021

M.BASKARAN

[PETITIONER / ACCUSED]

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
W-35, AWPS, TAMBARAM,
CHENNAI
CRIME NO.25 OF 2021

[RESPONDENT]

For Petitioner : M/S.K.CHANDRU Advocate


For Respondent : MR.N.S.SUGANTHAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 376, 354C, 506(2) of IPC and 67 of Information Technology Act 2000 in Crime No.25 of 2021, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant given a false complaint alleging that the petitioner and the defacto complainant was studying B.B.A in Vels University, Pallavaram. Both of them became friends and the petitioner with the help of his friend Aswin have took a secret photo when the defacto complainant was taking bath in the college premises. The petitioner showing the nude photo threaten the defacto complainant and had sexual intercourse several times against her will. Thereafter the petitioner and his friend demanded Rs.10,00,000/- or else they will upload the defacto complainant's nude photo in social media which are totally false and baseless. Hence the complaint.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the petitioner developed intimacy with the daughter of the defacto complainant and many photographs of the marriage was arranged for her daughter, he was showing the photos to public and social media, it needs detailed investigation. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, the investigation is in initial stage, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
15/12/2021

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
W-35, AWPS, TAMBARAM,
CHENNAI

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.K.CHANDRU Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.23517/2021

Date :15/12/2021

JPA 22/12/2021